

BARWICK in ELMET & SCHOLES PARISH COUNCIL: PLANNING COMMITTEE on Mon 1 August 2016

112

PRESENT: Councillors Beaumont, Crosfill, Dales, Davies, Hassell, Leeming, Remmer, Walsh and the clerk

- 1 **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE:**
- 2 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:** None
- 3 **PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

App no & date	Applicant	Date received	Description
16/03537/FU/NE 6 July 2016	28 Elmwood Avenue	6 th July	Two storey/first floor/single storey side and rear extensions; porch and new pitched roof to existing garage at front
	Planning Committee decision: No objection to the above application subject to planner's satisfaction that there isn't a breach of the building line. Notes: In considering this application, the Planning Committee considered whether the porch and the garage were coming forward of the building line. Consideration was given to how this extension compared to others already built on the same street. The width of the garage was also considered.		
16/04186/FU/NE 7 July 2016	4 Scholes Lodge Lane	7 th July	Single storey side extension
	Planning Committee decision: Objection - the scale and mass of the extension exceeds published guidelines and due to the effect on the trees in the garden Notes: In considering this application, the Planning Committee noted the considerable size of the extension compared to the existing building. Concerns were expressed that damage could be done to the tree roots, the tree having a tree preservation order on it.		
16/04116/FU/NE 6 July 2016	11 Station Road	6 th July	Single storey rear extension
	Planning Committee decision: No objection Notes:		

	It was noted that this property already has a conservatory.		
16/04211/FU/NE 13 July 2016	Station Cottage, 48A Station Road	13 th July	Boundary wall and gate to front
	Planning Committee decision: No objection Notes: The applicant has kept the Parish Council fully informed regarding this application and comments of appreciation were made.		
16/04310/FU/NE 20 July 2016	Land Off Belle Vue Road Scholes Leeds	20 th July	Nine dwellings, landscaping and associated infrastructure
	Planning Committee decision: Considerable objections Notes: Comments have already been submitted following the pre-submission consultation. The proposed properties do not compliment those around them. This development is not in conformity with the core strategy. There was concern about the trees which would have to be removed. There is a small car park for four spaces but at least a similar number will be lost in the Manor House car park by the building of the access road. It was noted that this application built on one of the designated Green Spaces listed in the emerging Neighbourhood Plan. Although the number of properties was nine (compared to a previous proposal for fifteen) these properties provide for six people per household which means more traffic than that of fifteen bungalows.		